## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

ROBERT D. HAUGHIE :

Petitioner :

v : Civil Action No. AW-06-1956

RODERICK R. SOWERS :

Respondent

000

## **MEMORANDUM**

The above-captioned Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was filed on July 28, 2006, together with a Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis. Because he appears to be indigent, Petitioner's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis shall be granted. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and alleges error in Petitioner's 1982 conviction for first degree rape, assault with intent to murder, and possession of a deadly weapon. Paper No. 1. This is the third occasion Petitioner has filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus regarding this conviction. *See Haughie v. Sacchett*, Civil Action AW-97-2460 (D. Md. 1997) and *Haughie v. State of Maryland*, Civil Action AW-99-379 (D. Md. 1999).

Under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act ("AEDPA") of 1996,<sup>1</sup>

Petitioner may file a second or successive habeas corpus petition only if he has moved the appropriate circuit court for an order authorizing the district court to consider his application.

See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3);<sup>2</sup> Felker v. Turpin, 83 F.3d 1303, 1305-07 (11th Cir.1996), cert.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Pub.L. No. 104-132, 110 Stat. 1214, amending 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244, 2253, 2254, 2255, Appellate Rule 22, and 21 U.S.C. § 848(q).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(B) provides, as amended, that: "[A] motion in the court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider a second or successive application shall be determined by a three-judge panel of the court of appeals."

dismissed, 518 U.S. 651 (1996). The pending application is a successive one. Before this Court may consider the pending petition, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit must enter an order authorizing this Court to consider the Petitioner's application for habeas corpus relief. See §2244(b)(3)(A);<sup>3</sup> see In re Vial, 115 F.3d 1192, 1197-98 (4th Cir. 1997) (en banc). Because it does not appear that Petitioner has complied with this "gatekeeper" provision, his pending application for habeas corpus relief must be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3).<sup>4</sup>

The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has set forth instructions for the filing of a "motion" to obtain the aforementioned authorization Order. The procedural requirements and deadlines for filing the "motion" are extensive. Consequently, this Court has attached hereto a packet of instructions promulgated by the Fourth Circuit which addresses the comprehensive procedure to be followed should Petitioner wish to seek authorization to file a successive petition with the appellate court. It is to be emphasized that Petitioner must file the "motion" with the Fourth Circuit and obtain authorization to file his successive petition before this Court may examine his claims.

A separate Order follows.

August 15, 2006

Date

/s/

Alexander Williams, Jr.

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A) provides that "[b]efore a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Under the amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, a second or successive petition must be certified as provided in § 2244 by a panel of the appropriate court of appeals to contain--(1) newly discovered evidence that, if proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that no reasonable factfinder would have found the movant guilty of the offense, or (2) a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable.